Norman Finkelstein
Scott Rickard · August 18, 2025 ·

Inconsistent Application of Justice in the United States

Titles are generated by AI from Meta

Selective Impunity: Hypocrisy in U.S. Federal Prosecution

This essay critically examines the inequity embedded within United States federal prosecutorial practices—specifically focusing on the case of an Israeli national, Tom Artiom Alexandrovich, accused of child sex crimes in Nevada, juxtaposed against the non-prosecution by the interim U.S. Attorney, Sigal Chattah. Despite mounting allegations and public concern, the refusal to pursue prosecution raises serious concerns about the inconsistency and potential political bias in the administration of justice.

Hypocritical Justice and Discretionary Prosecution

On August 16, 2025, Tom Artiom Alexandrovich, executive director at Israel's National Cyber Directorate, was apprehended in Nevada as part of an Internet Crimes Against Children sting that targeted individuals attempting to solicit minors online . Despite the serious felony charges, Alexandrovich was granted $10,000 bail, permitted to return to Israel, and subsequently placed on leave by Israeli authorities .

In a press statement issued on August 18, 2025, Acting U.S. Attorney Sigal Chattah confirmed the arrest but clarified that "the Clark County District Attorney's Office is handling the prosecution," indicating that the federal office declined involvement . This decision spotlights a concerning inconsistency in prosecutorial control and authority—particularly given the gravity of the allegations and the expectation that federal authorities have jurisdiction over such crimes when committed within U.S. territory and involving foreign nationals.

Political Maneuvering in Appointments

Sigal Chattah's role as Acting U.S. Attorney reflects broader issues of politicized appointment processes. Initially appointed as interim in April 2025, she assumed the role on April 1 without Senate confirmation . As her 120-day interim term approached its legal limit, the Trump administration employed a Vacancies Reform Act maneuver to reclassify her as "acting", affording her an additional 210 days in power—without both judicial input and traditional congressional oversight .

This unilateral extension drew widespread condemnation. More than 100 retired federal and state judges publicly opposed her continued tenure, citing her history of racially charged rhetoric, inflammatory remarks, and partisan behavior . Critics argue that the tactic represents a concerning erosion of the rule of law, demonstrating how executive influence may override established norms in prosecutorial appointments.

Discretion and Double Standards

between the seriousness of the allegations and the lack of federal engagement in the case suggests that political dynamics or personal networks may significantly influence prosecutorial discretion. This is especially troubling when paired with Chattah's appointment through controversial, politically motivated legal bypasses.

References

1. Alexandrovich's arrest and return to Israel, bail conditions:

2. Sigal Chattah's statement delegating prosecution to Clark County DA:

3. Chattah's appointment as interim U.S. Attorney beginning April 1, 2025:

4. Controversial extension via Vacancies Reform Act and judicial opposition:

Conclusion

This pattern of discretionary inaction, combined with politically charged appointment strategies, casts a harsh light on the state of prosecutorial integrity in the U.S. It underscores how the justice system may be wielded unevenly—with decisions shaped by partisan interests rather than adherence to legal principles. Further inquiry must consider whether this case represents an isolated incident or a symptom of deeper structural inequities within federal law enforcement.



16 4 10

Comment as Sean

Vesna Kellerman
It's no wonder that some citizens of USA could use arms & behave the sand way the government is behaving ... rounding up people... See more

42w Like Reply Share

Josey Wales
He's not gonna get shit. First of all, the Judge at his bail hearing should have required him to surrender his passport, he's ... See more

42w Like Reply Share 2

Sam Sam
Josey Wales there was no hearing.

41w Like Reply Share 1

Josey Wales
Sam Sam You mean there was no bond hearing, or none scheduled for later? You pretty much have a bond hearing on the initial ar... See more

41w Like Reply Share

Other posts





